

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

**FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA**

**SHREVEPORT DIVISION**

**WILLIAM T. FUNCHEON, JR.**

**CIVIL ACTION NO. 12-762-P**

**VERSUS**

**JUDGE FOOTE**

**CADDO PARISH, ET AL.**

**MAGISTRATE JUDGE HORNSBY**

**REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION**

In accordance with the standing order of this Court, this matter was referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge for review, report and recommendation.

**STATEMENT OF CLAIM**

Before the Court is a civil rights complaint filed by pro se plaintiff William T. Funcheon, Jr., pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This complaint was received and filed in this Court on March 21, 2012. Plaintiff names Caddo Parish, Steve Prator, Captain Farris, Robert Wyche, Officer Graves, Ms. Joyce, the Swanson Dist Dallas, Texas, Cobra Kiosk Systems, and the Caddo Correctional Center as defendants.

Plaintiff filed his civil rights complaint and IFP application. The Court then sent him a Memorandum Order ordering him to amend his pleadings. However, that Memorandum Order was returned to this Court on April 18, 2012, by the United States Postal Service marked “RETURN TO SENDER -GONE.” To date, Plaintiff has not informed this Court of his new address.

All parties have the responsibility of promptly reporting to the Court and to all other parties any change in the mailing address. Failure to do so shall be considered cause for dismissal for failure to prosecute. See ULLR 41.3W.

Accordingly;

**IT IS RECOMMENDED** that this complaint be **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**, sua sponte, for failure to prosecute, pursuant to Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure as interpreted by the Court and under the Court's inherent power to control its own docket. See Link v. Wabash Railroad Company, 370 U.S. 626, 82 S.Ct. 1386 (1962); Rogers v. Kroger Company, 669 F.2d 317, 320-321 (5th Cir. 1983).

### **OBJECTIONS**

Under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), parties aggrieved by this recommendation have fourteen (14) days from service of this Report and Recommendation to file specific, written objections with the Clerk of Court, unless an extension of time is granted under Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b). A party may respond to another party's objections within ten (10) days after being served with a copy thereof. Counsel are directed to furnish a courtesy copy of any objections or responses to the District Judge at the time of filing.

A party's failure to file written objections to the proposed findings, conclusions and recommendation set forth above, within fourteen (14) days after being served with a copy shall bar that party, except upon grounds of plain error, from attacking, on appeal, the

proposed factual findings and legal conclusions that were accepted by the district court and that were not objected to by the aforementioned party. See Douglas v. U.S.A.A., 79 F.3d 1415 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc).

**THUS DONE AND SIGNED**, in chambers, in Shreveport, Louisiana, on this 29<sup>th</sup> day of August 2012.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
MARK L. HORNSBY  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE